


Audrey Bennett
President

Johnny Johnson
Secretary



Victoria Winfrey
Vice President

Alan W. Childs II
Treasurer

Ronald Johnson
Assistant Secretary/Treasurer

December 15, 2006

Philip N. Hogen, Chairman
Cloyce V. Choney, Commissioner
National Indian Gaming Commission
1441 L Street NW, Suite 9100
Washington, DC 20005

Re: Comments on Class II Definitions and Gaming Standards

Dear Chairman Hogen and Commissioner Choney:

We write on behalf the Prairie Island Indian Community to comment on the NIGC's proposed rules for Class II Definitions and Gaming Standards.

Our Tribe remains concerned with the manner in which the NIGC has developed these regulations. The current rulemaking process lacked meaningful consultation with Indian Tribes. Notwithstanding the fact that the NIGC assembled a tribal advisory committee (TAC) to participate in the process, the committee *was not* invited to participate in drafting the proposed regulations and little, if any, of the TAC's input has been incorporated into the NIGC's proposed rules. It is of matter of great concern to us that the seven tribal members of the advisory committee *unanimously oppose* both the proposed change to the definition of "facsimile" (71 Fed. Reg. 30,232) and the proposed Class II classification regulations (71 Fed. Reg. 30,238). We concur with written comments of the tribal advisory committee dated September 13, 2006, and incorporate them into these comments by this reference. The NIGC has failed to comply with its own consultation policy and failed to engage in meaningful government-to-government negotiations with tribes.

We ask that the agency refrain from placing arbitrary restraints on Class II gaming. Although we have no strong objection to removing the term "house banked" from the definition of a "game similar to bingo," we oppose the proposed definition of "electromechanical facsimile." We disagree with the NIGC's claim that bingo, lotto, and other games similar to bingo, are facsimiles when played in an electronic medium. The current definition is clear on its face - so long as the electronic format broadens participation among players and is not played against the machine, such games are *not* facsimiles. Please delete the proposed re-definition in Proposed Rule § 502.8.

The proposed classification standards are arbitrary and contrary to established case law. Please delete the proposed restrictions on the game display, ball draw, daubing, prize amounts, and player interaction. These new requirements, rather than clarifying the existing regulations, appear to repudiate most variants of bingo, slow the play of those that remain, and prevent any meaningful electronic play of pull tabs.

For example, without any statutory or case law authority the regulations impose additional restrictions on pull tabs. Under the proposal, the player terminal may neither accumulate credits nor award cash. A player must, therefore, redeem any pull-tab winnings through a clerk or kiosk, and cannot merely transfer credit between machines. This restriction greatly hinders player flexibility and the use of current cashless technology.

We also object to the redefinition of the statutory term "game of bingo." In enacting IGRA, Congress placed only three requirements on a game of bingo: 1) it is played for prizes with cards bearing numbers or other designations; 2) the holder of the card covers such numbers or designation when similarly numbered or designated objects are drawn or electronically determined; and 3) the game is won by the first person covering a previously designated arrangement of numbers or designations on such cards. Notably, the Federal Courts have continuously held that these three requirements constitute the sole *legal* requirements for a game to count as Class II bingo. The NIGC's current imposition of additional requirements prohibits the growth of Class II gaming and micromanages tribal business judgment plus regulatory responsibilities. The proposed regulations would eliminate virtually all games that Congress intended to allow as "similar to bingo." The following proposed provisions place arbitrary restrictions on bingo and games similar to bingo and must be deleted:

- the required use of five by five grid cards (25 spaces) (§546.4(c));
- games can only use ball draws numbered from 1 through 75 (§546.5(a));
- elimination of "pre-drawn" balls (if allowed to become law, this would prohibit the electronic play of "Bonanza Bingo," even as a game similar to bingo);
- mandatory time periods (2 seconds) to play of the bingo game (a requirement wholly unsupportable under current law) (§546.5(i));
- the requirement for multiple ball releases; the releases may not be instantaneous, and each release must take two seconds (§546.6(c)); and
- the elimination of auto-daub and requirement for two seconds of daub time before the next release is permitted (§546.5(i)).

Philip N. Hogen, Chairman
Cloyce V. Choney, Commissioner
December 15, 2006
Page 3

We are also concerned that the regulations fail to resolve the basic problems associated with the NIGC's game classification process and omit a meaningful role for tribal regulators. Under the proposed regulations, independent gaming laboratories, as licensed by the Commission, would certify games as complying with the regulations. Without "grandfathering," few, if any, existing games would comply with the proposed regulations, even those already approved by courts or by the NIGC itself. In the interests of fairness, the NIGC should permanently "grandfather" all of the games it has approved, as well as the games that the Federal Courts have approved.

Under the proposed rules, only the NIGC Chairman may object to a classification decision. Tribes have no such option, except in defense of an enforcement action. Laboratories must be approved annually, and may lose that approval if the NIGC is dissatisfied with their certification decisions. As the primary regulators of Class II gaming, Tribes should be afforded the opportunity to challenge such an opinion on a government-to-government basis, without having to first subject itself to enforcement action.

Finally, we also concur with the written comments of International Game Technology, Rocket Gaming Systems, Multimedia Games, Bally Technologies and Planet Bingo (collectively, "the Manufacturers") dated October 26, 2006 ("Manufacturers' Comments"), and incorporate them in our comments by this reference. In particular, we share the Manufacturers' concern that the economic burden of redesigning Class II systems to comply with the anticipate changes in the regulations will likely destroy the commercial viability of Class II gaming.


In sum, the regulations arbitrarily redefine established regulatory terms and limit what Congress clearly intended to permit. Under IGRA, Congress clearly permits the use of electronic equipment, or "technologic aids", in the play of Class II games. Legislative history shows that Congress was alert to the fact that technology would continue to advance, and that Class II gaming likewise should be allowed to evolve and grow through technological advancement. As noted in the Senate report: "The Committee intends that tribes be given the opportunity to take advantage of modern methods of conducting Class II games and the language regarding technology is designed to provide maximum flexibility."


The NIGC should honor both the spirit and the language of IGRA, the Tribes' hard-fought federal court victories, and the NIGC's own regulatory framework; most prominently, the current 2002 definition regulations. We urge the NIGC to give these


Philip N. Hogen, Chairman
Cloyce V. Choney, Commissioner
December 15, 2006
Page 4

comments serious consideration and to refrain from placing unwarranted restrictions on Class II gaming.


Sincerely,


Audrey Bennett
Tribal Council President


Victoria Winfrey
Tribal Council Vice President


Johnny Johnson
Tribal Council Secretary


Alan Childs II
Tribal Council Treasurer


Ronald Johnson
Tribal Council Assistant Secretary/Treasurer



Legal Department

Prairie Island Indian Community
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road
Welch, MN 55089

(651) 385-4006
Fax (651) 385-4140

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: 12/15/06

TIME: _____

PLEASE DELIVER TO: Philip N. Hogen, Chairman

FAX TELEPHONE NUMBER: (202) 632 - 0045

FROM: Philip R. Mahowald, General Counsel

NUMBER OF PAGES: 5 (including cover sheet)

MESSAGE:

If you do not receive all pages, please call 1-800-554-5473.

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.